

PACIFIC BELL PUBLIC COMMUNICATIONS INMATE MARKET

- 1. Offering of inmate phones in today's environment as a regulated service is appropriate.
 - o Provides benefit to ratepayer
 - o Is different product offering from that provided by COPTs
- 2. TODAY as a regulated line of business, Pacific provides inmate phones with unique inmate capabilities as part of the network. Cost and revenue streams are from regulated network usage.
- 3. Should inmate phone provision be classified as CPE, the following major changes would occur:
 - o Provision of inmate phones would become a nonregulated line of business, subject to Part 64 rules and joint provision of CPE rules as outlined in the Order in CC Docket No. 86-79.
 - o Revenue streams change dramatically.
 - o Creates a non-level playing field between LECs and COPTs.
- 4. Non-level playing field results because:
 - o Part 64 treatment assigns higher costs for LECs than major COPTs would need to reflect
 - o Pacific cannot avail itself of a revenue stream available to competitors: commissions on inter-LATA usage from IECs (resale of interexchange services or discrimination in favor of any individual IEC is prohibited by the MFJ)
- Part 64 changes the cost structure of the service.
 - o Part 64 overview (attached)
 - o Impact on access line charges and revenues
- 6. THERE IS NO PUBLIC BENEFIT TO CLASSIFYING INMATE PHONES AS CPE. PUBLIC DETRIMENT COULD ARISE, AND COMPETITION REMOVED FROM THE MARKET, AS LECS EXIT THE MARKET.